

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,456	07/31/2003	Tzu-Jin Yeh	N1085-00039 3805 [TSMC2002-080	
8933 7	590 12/23/2004	•	EXAM	INER
DUANE MORRIS, LLP		•	MUNSON, GENE M	
IP DEPARTMENT				
ONE LIBERTY PLACE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-7396			2811	

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A.	
4L	
address –	
AILING DATE	
SIX (6) MONTHS	
sidered timely. nication. . § 133).	
y earned patent	
· ·	
closed in	
-	
pplication.	
consideration.	
	ı
n or election	

	Application No. 10/632,456	Applicant(s)	YEH ET AL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner G, Mo	UNSON	Group Art Unit 281/	

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence **Period for Reply** ONE MONTH(S) FROM THE M A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ___ OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be cons - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ___ ☐ This action is FINAL. ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** l-4/ is/are pending in the ap ☑ Claim(s) _____ Of the above claim(s) __ is/are withdrawn from c is/are allowed. □ Claim(s)— ☐ Claim(s) — ___ is/are reiected. is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s)_ Claim(s) ____ _____ are subject to restriction requirement **Application Papers** □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _______ is □ approved □ disapproved. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ______ is/are objected to by the Examiner ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received

*Certified copies not received:

in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))

☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).

A	ш	Cn	ш	er	ΙŲ	8

	-
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	☐ Other

Office Action Summary

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

Serial Number 10/632,456

Page 2

Art Unit 2811

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-25, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 531.

II. Claims 26-41, drawn to a process for making semiconductor devices, classified in

class 438, subclass 514.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are

distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to

make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another

and materially different process (MPEP > 806.05(f)). In the instant case unpatentability of the group I

invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the group II invention, since the device of the

group I invention could be made by processes materially different than those/that of the group II

invention, for example, the "insulating" layer could be formed before rather than after the "deep

wells" are formed.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and, as shown by the above

different classifications, the fields of search are not co-extensive and separate examination would be

required, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election

of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Munson

(571) 272-1659

12/21/04

CENE M. WUNSON

Dene Mr. Musson

group art urly 2811